DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 118 654

TM 005 152

AUTHOR

Wharton, Keith

TITLE

Legitimizing Education for Individual Life Styles:

School of Survival. Final Evaluation Report

INSTITUTION

Minneapolis Public Schools, Minn. Dept. of Research

and Evaluation.

REPORT NO PUB DATE NOTE

C-74-20 Aug 75 55p.

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS MF-\$0.83 HC-\$3.50 Plus Postage

Academic Achievement; Attendance; Educational Alternatives: *Educational Programs; *High School Students: Individual Differences; Life Style;

*Problem Children: *Program Evaluation: Public Schools: Secondary Education; Student Attitudes

IDENTIFIERS

*Minneapolis North Community High School; Minnesota

(Minneapolis)

ABSTRACT

The purpose of the School of Survival (S.O.S.) is to provide an alternative educational program for 9th, 10th and 11th grade students from North Community High School whose life styles are not compatible with formal/traditional educational programs. There were six major goals for the project for 1974-75. These dealt with student participation in the educational activities of the project, students' attitudes toward school and teachers, reading, computation, work experiences, and improvement in the ability of the staff to prepare goals and objectives. A variety of activities including courses, field trips, and independent study projects were used to help the students reach the objectives. The staff were assisted by pre-service and in-service training sessions. The evaluation involved pre and posttesting in reading staff and employees, a review of the attendance records and credits earned by students, saff and employees, a review of the attendance records and credits earned by students, and a summary evaluation of each student by the project staff. The general conclusion is that the project as a whole continues to be successful. Objectives concerning attendance, credits earned, and student attitudes were not successfully accomplished in 1974-75, however, and it was impossible to determine the success of the mathematics program. (Author/RC)

supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original.

Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished

^{*} materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort * to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal

^{*} reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality

^{*} of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available

^{*} via the EPIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not

^{*} responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions *

MINNEAPOLIS PUBLIC SCHOOLS ESEA TITLE III PROJECT NORTH COMMUNITY HIGH SCHOOL

LEGITIMIZING EDUCATION FOR INDIVIDUAL LIFE STYLES:

SCHOOL OF SURVIVAL

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT 1974-75

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS. STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OF POLICY

Prepared by
Dr. Keith Wharton
Project Evaluator
and
Minneapolis Public Schools
July 28, 1975

321 200Werc

August 1975 C-74-20

Research and Evaluation Department Planning and Support Division 807 N. E. Broadway Minneapolis, MN 55413

Minneapolis Board of Education

W. Harry Davis, Chairman

Richard F. Allen John M. Mason Marilyn A. Borea Phillip A. Olson Carol R. Lind Jane A. Starr

Interim Superintendent Donald D. Bevis

Special School District No. 1

MINNEAPOLIS PUBLIC SCHOOLS MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA

An Equal Opportunity Employer



Minneapolis Public Schools

Legitimizing Education for Individual Life Styles: School of Survival

Summary of Final Evaluation 1974-75

Prepared by: Dr. Keith Wharton

L SUMMARY

Program Description

The purpose of the School of Survival (S.O.S.) is to provide an alternative educational program for 9th, 10th, and 11th grade students from North Community High School whose life styles are not compatible with formal/traditional educational programs. There were six major goals for the project for 1974-75. These dealt with student participation in the educational activities of the project, students' attitudes toward school and teachers, reading, computation, work experiences, and improvement in the ability of the staff to prepare goals and objectives.

A variety of activities including courses, field trips, and independent study projects were used to help the students reach the objectives. The staff were assisted by pre-service and in-service training sessions.

Evaluation

The evaluation involved pre and posttesting in reading and computation, the administration of attitude scales, ratings of job performances by staff and employees, a review of the attendance records and credits earned by students, and a summary evaluation of each student by the project staff.

Conclusions and Recommendations

The general conclusion is that the project as a whole continues to be successful. Objectives concerning attendance, credits earned, and student attitudes were not successfully accomplished in 1974-75, however, and it was impossible to determine the success of the mathematics program.

August 1975

Research and Evaluation Department



II. DETAILED REPORT

A. Identification

1. Name of Community: Minneapolis Public Schools

Special School District No. 1

807 N.E. Broadway

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55413

2. Locale of School: Minneapolis North Community High School

1500 James Avenue North

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55411

Hennepin County

3rd and 5th Congressional Districts

3. Target Population:

- a. Staff: At the beginning of the school year 1974-75, the project was staffed by the Project Coordinator, a Lead Teacher (SLBP reading), an SLBP tutor, one 1/2 time Work Coordinator, and five other teachers (Arts and Crafts, English and Outreach, Developmental Reading, Social Studies, Math and Science.)
- b. Pupils: The students selected for participation in the project in 1974-75 were 9th, 10th, and 11th grade students from North Community High School whose life styles were not compatible with the formal/traditional education they had experienced. These students were referred to S.O.S. by counselors, SLBP teachers, regular school staff, social workers, probation offices, parents and others. They were accepted on the basis of having exhibited one or more of the following characteristics which had contributed to their lack of academic success:
 - 1. Poor academic skills
 - 2. Low tolerance for large group situations
 - .3. Short attention span
 - 4. Irregular attendance
 - 5. Inability to meet rigid time requirements
 - 6. Poor authority relationships
 - 7. Low motivation



- 8. Poor language development
- 9. Poor communication skills
- 10. Poor physical health
- 11. Drug abuse
- 12. Emotional instability (behavioral)
- 13. Inability to make good judgments
- 14. Inability to establish away-from-home independence
- 15. Inappropriate language for school situations
- 16. Poor social interaction skills
- 17. Low self-image

At the beginning of the school year -- September 3, 1974 -- a total of 45 students had been admitted into S.O.S. Twelve of these were 9th graders, 33 were 10th graders; 25 males, 20 females.

By the middle of January, 1975, the active enrollment had risen to 92. These were distributed as follows:

9th Grade:	27 males
`	20 females
	47 total
10th Grade:	30 males

15 females 45 total

The total number of students who had been enrolled in the project between September 3, 1974, and January, 15, 1975, was 107. The 15 students who withdrew either moved out of the district, transferred to other classes, were placed in correctional institutions, or dropped out of school. The ethnic composition of these 107 students was:

	Male	Female	Total	Ž.
Black	10	10	20	18.7
Chicano	4	3	7	6.5
Native Americans	7	4	11	10.3
Other	48	21	69	64.5
Total	69	38	107	100.0

The enrollment at the end of the year was 101, distributed among the grades as follows:

9th Grade	Male 30	Female 21	Total 51
10th Grade	2 6	21	47 /
11th Grade	_2	1	. 3
Total	58	43	101

Counting the 30 students who left the S.O.S. program during the the school year, a total of 131 students were enrolled in the project at one time or another in 1974-75.

B. Project Objectives and Activities

- 1. Objective I: Every student selected for admission into S.O.S. will successfully participate in a total program designed to fit his or her abilities and interests.
 - Activities: The S.O.S. curriculum is an educational program a. designed to meet the special needs of the students who are admitted to the project. It was developed, for 1974-75, by the project staff who drew upon their experiences of the first two years of the project, in consultation with appropriate curriculum resource personnel of the Minneapolis Public Schools as well as outside consultants. The educational activities included classroom work, independent study, field trips, work experiences and other activities developed especially for individual students or groups of students. An example of the class schedule is shown in Appendix A. A complete listing of class schedules and activities for the year as well as specific objectives for each course can be obtained from the Project Coordinator.

b. Procedures to determine accomplishment

"Successful participation" was defined operationally in terms of attendance, credits earned and a composite summary rating of each student by the project staff.

Attendance: In order to benefit from the special offerings and services of the S.O.S. program, students must attend classes. Individual attendance records were kept for each student. These records included the number of days enrolled in the program, the number of days present, and the number of days absent, both excused and unexcused.

- (a) Individual criterion for success: A student was considered to have successfully participated in the S.O.S. program where attendance is concerned if he or she was present or received excused absences for 80% of the time that he or she was enrolled in the program. (Students were given excused absences for reasons of health, legal matters, or severe social-home problems.)
- (b) Group criterion for success: The attendance portion of the successful participation objective was to be considered successfully accomplished if 60% of the total group met the individual criterion of success.
- Number of credits earned: The second measure of successful participation was the number of credits earned by students. Credits were awarded by teachers based upon successful accomplishment of objectives in individual classes.
 - (a) Individual criterion for success: Credits were awarded at the end of each trimester of the school year. Students who were enrolled in the program at the beginning of a trimester or who entered during the first three weeks of the trimester were considered to have successfully met the credits earned portion of the successful participation objective if they earned an average of at least 4 credits for each trimester they were in attendance.
 - (b) Group criterion for success: The credits earned portion of the successful participation objective was to be considered successfully accomplished if 60% of the total group met the individual criterion for success.
- Composite summary rating by the project staff: At the end of the school year the project staff, as a group, were to review the accomplishments and progress of each student enrolled in the project. A composite, summary rating of Successful or Unsuccessful was to be assigned to each student.
 - (a) Group criterion for success: This portion of the successful participation objective was to be considered successfully accomplished if 75% of the total group received ratings of Successful.
- 2. Objective II: Every student selected for admission into S.O.S. will change his or her feelings about school and teachers in a positive direction.

a. Activities: The entire structure of the S.O.S. program and each of its component activities were designed to help students who have negative attitudes toward school and teachers to improve those attitudes.

b. Procedures to determine accomplishment:

- 1) Measurement of feelings about school and teachers: Each studen feelings about school and teachers were measured by 20-item Likert-type attitude scales. A copy of the instruments is included in Appendix B. Pretest scales were administered at the time of initial entry into the program; posttest scales were administered in May, 1975.
- 2) <u>Criterion for successful accomplishment</u>: This objective was to be considered successfully accomplished if the post-test scores of 80% of the <u>students</u> were higher than the pre-test scores.
- 3. Objective III: Every student selected for admission into S.O.S. whose entry reading level is below grade level 7.0 will improve his or her reading grade level by an amount equivalent to one full year for one full year's participation in the program.
 - a. Activities: Two reading groups, the SLBP group for students whose entry reading grade level was between 0.0 and 5.5 and the Developmental group for students whose entry reading grade level was between 5.6 and 7.0, were established. Special reading programs, activities, and course objectives were employed for students in each of these groups to assist them in making the desired reading improvement.

b. Procedures to determine accomplishment:

1) Measurement of entry reading grade level: Each student selected for S.O.S. was administered the reading portion of the Wide-Range Achievement Test at the time of entry into the program. Those whose reading grade levels were between 0 and 5.5 were assigned to SLBP classes; those with levels between 5.6 and 7.0 were assigned to developmental reading classes; those with levels above 7.0 were assigned to other English classes in the S.O.S. program.



- 2) Measurement of improvement:
 - (a) SLBP group: At the end of each trimester, students in this group took the Modified IOTA Reading Test.
 - (b) <u>Developmental</u> reading group: Students in this group took Level 2 of the reading portion of the Wide-Range Achievement Test at the end of each trimester.
- 3) Criterion for successful accomplishment: Improvement in reading ability was measured by gains in reading grade level as determined by the standardized tests used.
 - (a) Individual criterion for success: The individual student was considered to have successfully met the desired level of improvement if his or her reading grade level improved by a minimum of 2 months for each 3 months participation in the reading classes. (Scores were adjusted proportionately for students who were in attendance less than the full trimester.)
 - (b) Group criterion for success: This objective was to considered successfully accomplished if 60% of the total group met the individual criterion of success.
- 4. Objective IV: Every student selected for admission into S.O.S. who is placed in the Basic Mathematics program will improve his or her computational skills by an amount decided to be appropriate for him or her.
 - a. Activities: As with the reading program, special mathematics and basic computational skills classes and activities were to be utilized to assist students to improve their mathematical abilities.
 - b. Procedures to determine accomplishment:
 - 1) Measurement of entry computational skill:

Each student selected for S.O.S. was administered the Minneapolis Public School Mathematics Achievement Test (MACT 8-071272-1) at the time of entry into the program. Those whose scores were not satisfactory (determined by the mathematics teacher and the Project Coordinator who took into consideration the background, achievement, ability, etc., of each student), were placed into Basic Mathematics classes.

- 2) Measurement of improvement: At the end of the school year, each student in the Basic Mathematics program was administered a posttest form of the Minneapolis Public Schools Mathematics Achievement Test.
- 3) <u>Criterion for successful accomplishment</u>: Improvement in computational skills was determined by gains in scores on the achievement test and by accomplishments in the Basic Mathematics classes.
 - (a) Individual criteria for usuccess: Criteria for success were to be determined for each student by the mathematics teacher and the Project Coordinator.
 - (b) Group criterion for success: This objective was to be considered successfully accomplished if 60% of the total group in the Basic Mathematics classes met the individual criteria for success.
- 5. Objective V: Every student selected for admission into S.O.S. who wishes to participate in employment experiences through S.O.S. will have had at least one successful job experience by the end of academic year 1974-75.
 - a. Activities: Students who wished to participate in and receive credit for work experiences were given special classroom instruction on job related topics, e.g., seeking employment, the job interview, employer's expectations, etc. They were assisted in finding employment by the project Work Coordinator, who also provided on-the-job supervision and guidance.
 - b. Procedures to determine accomplishment:
 - 1) Measurement of success: The success of each job experience for each student was determined by evaluations from the Work Coordinator, the Project Coordinator, and appropriate project staff based upon a consideration of the abilities, needs, and attitudes of the student; accomplishments on the job, ratings from employers, etc.
 - 2) Criteria for successful accomplishment: This objective was to be considered successfully accomplished if at least 50% of the students who chose to work had at least one successful job experience during academic year 1974-75.

- 6. Objective VI: As a result of pre-service and in-service training sessions, the S.O.S. staff will improve their skills in preparing goals and objectives for S.O.S. classes, projects, and activities.
 - a. Activities: Pre-service and in-service training sessions for the S.O.S. staff were led by resource consultants.
 - b. Procedures to determine accomplishment: This objective was to be considered successfully accomplished if 1) pre- and in-service sessions were held as planned, and 2) objectives and curricular program were developed to the satisfaction of the consultant and the Project Coordinator.

C. Data

1. Objective I:

a. Procedures for analysis of data: An individual summary sheet for the recording of the data was prepared for each student. On this sheet were recorded the number of days the student was enrolled in the project, the number of days he or she was present or received excused absences, the number of credits earned for each trimester, and the composite rating for the year by the project staff. From these data the percentage attendance figure was computed for each student.

The number and percentage of students meeting the minimum criteria for success for each of the three sub-objectives of attendance, credits earned, and composite rating was computed. Separate analyses were made for 9th, 10th, and 11th grade students.

b. Report of the findings:

- 1) Attendance: The group criterion for success of 60% was met only by the 11th grade group. The percentage figure for the total group was 47.5%.
- 2) Credits earned: Here again the only classification meeting the group criterion for success of 60% was the 11th grade group. The total group percentage figure was 57.7%.



- 2) Composite rating: This sub-objective was successfully attained by the total group, with 76.2% of the students receiving composite ratings of "Satisfactory". The highest figure was 80.4% for the 9th grade group, the figure for the 10th and 11th grade groups were \$2.3% and 66.7% respectively.
- c. Summarization and tabulation of data: The attendance, credits earned and composite rating data for each individual student, by classification, are presented in Tables IA, IB, and IC. Table ID summarizes these data, and indicates those groups meeting the group criterion for success.

1.

TABLE IA ATTENDANCE, CREDITS EARNED, AND COMPOSITE RATINGS 1974-75 9TH GRADE STUDENTS

Stude	ent	No. of Days Enrolled	No. of Days Present	% Attendance	No. of Credits Earned	Composite Rating
•		щ.,	± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ±			
0	1	86	77	90*	10*	•
0:		175	117	67	•	S.
0		116	69	60	14* 8*	S S
0	-	116	94	81* <i>,</i>	8*	S
0:		175	123	70	2	S
0(175	118	67	11	Ü
0'		175	138	79	· _	S
0		107	75	70	9	. S
09		86	70	81*	6 6*	S
10		128 %	105	82*	· 10*	S
		108	80	74	8*	S
1:		127	70	55		S
13		175	138	79	3 8	U
14		54	. 45	83*	4 *	S
19		175	140	80*	14*	S
16		116	81	70	5	S .
. 17		155	134	86*		s s
18		166	122	73	6 10	S S
19		40	34	85*		
20		118	71	60	N/A 1	, S
21		158	147	93*	17*	U
22		116	.97	84*	6	S S
, 23		86	64	74	8*	
24		158	103	65		S
25		147	99,	67	3 2	U
26		155	120	77	12*	U
27		. 57	51	72	4*	S S
28		102	92	90*	9*	
29		175	135	77	14*	S
30		157	128	82*		S
31		175	160	91*	18* * * 14*	S ,
32		51	23	45 ·		S
33		99	82	83* ′	1 3	U
34		` 44	27	61	-	S
35		57	26	45	0 3 .	U

ERIC*

1A Continued

Student	No. of Days Enrolled	No. of Days Present	% Attendance	No. of Credits Earned	Compos Rating
36	174	137	. 40		
37	116		79	9	S
		115	99*	11*	S
38	151	70	. 46	3	U
39	149	. 98	66	12*	S
' 40	157	144	92*	13*	ŝ
41	116	111	96*	10*	S
42	116	114	98*	11*	S
43	116	83	. 72	9*	S
44	99	51	52	. 7	S
45	175	148	85*	16*	, S
46	32	26	81*	N/A	S
47	129	113	88*	16*	S
48	136	105	77	9*	S
49	57	31	60	1	U
50 ·	86	47	55	5*	S
51 ·	57	51	89*	4*	S

^{*}Meets specified criterion for successful accomplishment for year 1974-75, or for portion of year in SOS if enrolled less than full year.



N/A indicates those students who entered after the first three weeks of the trimester

^{1:} S=Satisfactory

U=Unsatisfactory

TABLE IB ATTENDANCE, CREDITS FARNED, AND COMPOSITE RATINGS 1974-75 10TH GRADE STUDENTS

. .	No. of Days	No. of Days	. %	No. of Credits	Composi
Student	Enrolled	Present	Attendance	Earned	Rating
	•				, ·
7 01	170	. 138	81*	19*	· - S
02	175	141	· 81*	14*	S
03	175	115	66	12*	S
04	175	130	74	8	ar , u
. 05	175	166	- 95*	15*	`~ ' S
• 06	174	150	86*	, 7	S S
07	101	- 89	88*	8*	S
. 08	86	58	67	3	U
09	175 .	128	73	14*	Ü
10	158	74	47	8	
· 11	123	114	· 93*	9*	- S
. 12	175	156	`. 89*	13*	S S S
13 ,	101	- 67	66	3	Ü
14	158	99	63	7	S
. 15	160	83	52	· 3	. U
16	118	61	52	5	U
17	175	175	100*	16*	S
18	148	46	31	3	U °
19	` 29	29 .	100*	N/A	Ü
20	175	167	95*	12*	S
21	118	98	83*	9*	Š
. 22	175	127	73	11	S - S
423	116	63	54	1	Ü
24	175	125	71	15*	Š
25	° 175	175	100*	14*	S
· 26	116	97	84*	13*	s s
27	101	50	50	2	Ü
. 28	175	163	93*	14*	S
	175	142	81*	14*	_
29 - 30	. 116	93	80*	4	S U
31	99	63	64	. 4	
32	57	48	84* '	5*	. U S S S S
33	175	174	99*	19*	8
34	174	104	60	7	С.
35	175	129	74	. 8	

ERIC

16

IB Continued

No. of D	No. of D			
_	~	8	No. of Credits	Composi
Enrolled	Present	Attendance	Earned '	Rating
> .				V
175	162	93*	14*	S
124	78 .	63	6	s
22	20	91*	N/A	S
174	114	66	12*	S
157	111	71	7 .	S
175	105	60	6	. S
105	37	35	1	Ū
129	100	78	10*	S
174	154	89*	18*	S.
175	149	85*	17*	S
118	114	97*	9*	S
175	163	93*	16*	S
	175 124 22 174 157 175 105 129 174 175 118	Enrolled Present 175 162 124 78 22 20 174 114 157 111 175 105 105 37 129 100 174 154 175 149 118 114	Enrolled Present Attendance 175 162 93* 124 78 63 22 20 91* 174 114 66 157 111 71 175 105 60 105 37 35 129 100 78 174 154 89* 175 149 85* 118 114 97*	Enrolled Present Attendance Earned 175 162 93* 14* 124 78 63 6 22 20 91* N/A 174 114 66 12* 157 111 71 7 175 105 60 6 105 37 35 1 129 100 78 10* 174 154 89* 18* 175 149 85* 17* 118 114 97* 9*

^{*}Meets specified criterion for successful accomplishment for year 1974-75, or for portion of year in SOS if enrolled less than full year.

N/A indicates those students who entered after the first three weeks of the trimester

17

-14-

^{1:} S∓Satisfactory U=Unsatisfactory

TABLE IC ATTENDANCE, CREDITS EARNED, AND COMPOSITE RATINGS 1974-75 11TH GRADE STUDENTS

Student	No. of Days Enrolled	No. of Days Present	Attendance	No. of Credits Earned	Composi Rating
01	104	89	86*	8*	S
02	86	69	80*	4*	ับ
03	157	115	73	11*	S

^{*}Meets specified criterion for successful accomplishment for year 1974-75, or for portion of year in SOS if enrolled less than full year

18

^{1:} S=Satisfactory U=Unsatisfactory

TABLE ID

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE MEETING INDIVIDUAL CRITERIA FOR SUCCESS: ATTENDANCE, CREDITS EARNED, AND COMPOSITE RATINGS

1974-75 9TH, 10TH, AND 11TH GRADE STUDENTS

		· - · ·	
•	Meeting Individual Crite	eria for Success	•
Attendance	<u>N</u>	<u>8</u>	
9th Grade (N=51)	22	43.1	
10th Grade (N=47)	. 24		oup Criterion for ccess = 60%
11th Grade (N=3)	2	66.7*	000
Total Group (N=101)	48	47.5	13
Credits Earned	•		·
9th Grade (N=49)	28	57.1	- - -
10th Grade (N=45)	25		oup Criterion for ccess = 60%
11th Grade (N=3)	3	100.0*	. \
Total Group (N=97)	56	. 57.7	
Companies Dating			#
Composite Rating	1	·	
9th Grade (N=51)	41	80.4*	
10th Grade (N=47)	34		oup Criterion for ccess = 75%
. 11th Grade (N=3)	2	66.7	- · · · ·
Total Group (N=101)	77	76.2*	

*Meets group criterion for success



2. Objective II:

Procedures for analysis of data: Each of the four attitude scales that were used (they were the same scales that were used in 1973-74; copies are included in Appendix B) contained 20 items; 10 positive items and 10 negative items. The 5-point response categories were scored as follows:

For a positive item: SA=4, A=3, U=2, D=1, SD=0;
For a negative item: SA=0, A=1, U=2, D=3, SD=4;

Where SA=Strongly agree
A=Agree
U=Uncertain
D=Disagree
SD=Strongly Disagree

Each student's score on each of the items were summed over all 20 items on each side to provide a total score for that side The maximum possible score for each scale (20 items, 4 points each) is 80 (positive attitude); the minimum possible score is zero (negative attitude).

Scores were recorded for each student on each scale completed, and tabulated according to classification. Group means were computed, and the number and percentage of students in each group who improved their scores from the pretest to the post-test administrations were determined.

- b. Report of the findings: In order for this objective to be successfully attained, at least 80% of the students had to improve their scores over the two administrations of the scales. This was accomplished only by the 9th grade group on the Feelings About School -- Feelings About S.O.S. scales. All other percentages showing improvement, although high, were less than the necessary 80%. The total group percentage showing improvement on the School--S.O.S. scales was 76.4%; that on the Teacher--S.O.S. Teacher scales was 78.3%.
- c. Summarizations and tabulations of data: Pretest and posttest scores for individual students in each grade classification are presented in Tables IIA, IIB, and IIC. Summary statistics for each classification and for the total group are contained in Table IID.



TABLE IIA PRETEST AND POSTTEST SCORES ON ATTITUDE SCALES 1974-75 9TH GRADE STUDENTS

		_	•			
	Pre	Post	•	Pre	Post	
a. •	Feelings About	Feelings About	Change	Feelings About	Feelings About	Chan
Student	School	SOS		Teachers	SOS Teachers	
01				4.0		
01	50	56	+ 6	49	54	+
02	28	72	+44	50	58	+
03	24	40	+16	37	34	
04	42	70	+28	45	71	+2
05	30	53	+23	 41	49	
06	30			41		
07	37			42	60	+1
08	46	51 57	+ 5		48	
09	3 64	57	+13	40	66	+2
10	³ 64	62	- 2	51	55	+
11	·44			57		
12	66	66	0	68	65	- }
13	35	48	+13	38	38	
14	57		-,	36		
15	50	62	+12	41	54	+1
16.	47	52	+ 5	48	54	+
17	<i>,</i> 50	53	+ 3	50		
18	. 62	60	- 2	57	57	
19	48			52		
. 20	. 39			37		
21	45	71	+26	53	75	+2
22	62	60	- 2	56	55	
23	59	63	+ 4	47	50	+
24		44		,	50	
25	48	53	+ 5	44	56	+1
26	44	52	+ 8	53	65	+1
27	37	47	+10		31	
28	33	60	+27	29	33	+
29	41	57	+16	37	56	+1
30	54	64	+10	40	52	+1
31		56			62	
32	55	49	- 6	51		
33	. 24	44	+20	34	45 o	+1
34	36	46	+10		56	
35	42	. 41	- 1	48	58	+1

TABLE IIA Continued

	Pre	Post		Pre	Post	. !
	Feelings About	Feelings About	Change		Feelings About	Chai
Student	School	SOS	<u> </u>	Teachers	SOS Teachers	·
36	5 8	40	-18	49	44	- '
37	32	48	+16	3 2	58	+'
3 8					 ,	-
39		19 .		17	11	-
40	3 5	53	+18	32	50	+4
41	39	59	+20	23	57	+/
42	40	57	+17	39	49	+'
43	. 42	64	+22	47	52	. +
44	5 1	72	+21	55	70 ~	+
45	53	68	+15	. 44,	74	. +
46	39	69	+30	. 59	57 .	-
47	29	55	+26	30	53	+
48	6	59	+53	31	68	+
49	37	38	+ 1	37	57 .	+
50	62	72	+10	68	71	+
51	45	.,*r		44	\	-
		•		•		
		•			•	
Means	43.4 (N=47)	55.4 (N=43)	,	44.1 (N=44)	54.2 (N=42)	



22

TABLE IIB PRETEST AND POSTTEST SCORES ON ATTITUDE SCALES 1974-75 10TH GRADE STUDENTS

							
		Pre	Post		Pre	Post	
			Feelings About	Change		Feelings About	Chan
_	Student	School	sos	J -	Teachers	SOS Teachers	
	01	54 .	53	- 1	55	51	-
	02	65	49	-16	53 ·	·43	:
	03	65	74	+ 9	45	68	+ 2
	04	32	58	+26	35	49	+1
	05	46	56	+10	46		
	06 1	49 °			54 '		
	07	24	48	+24	45	44.	_
	08	53	~ ~		37	52	+]
	09	45	64	+19	31		
	10	48 .	53	+ 5	56	59	(,+
	11	41	"		47		
	12	63	69	+ 6	56	60	+
	13	23			43		
	14	28	53	+25	24	57	+3
	15	65	39	-26 v	47	33	-1
	16	53	58	+ 5	47	59	+1
	17	53	,		44	• <u></u> "	
	18	. 42			46		
	19	52			57		
	20	32	32	0	33	50	+1
	21	. 36		-1	40		
	22	45	51	+ 6	48	49	+
	23	64	. ₹64	0	56	59	+
	24	47	61	+14	48 .	57	+
	25	. 60	53	- 7	50 - ·	35	-1
	26	43			23	62	+3
	27	40			40	54	+1
	28	45	70	+25	55	70	+1
	29	29	40	+11	31 .	49	+1
	30 -	50		-,	47	55	+
	31	44	58	+14	. 51	54	+
	32	16	42	+26		30	
	33	75	68	- 7	53	58	+
	34	15	54	+39	50	54	+
	35	-33	27	- 6	3 0	35	+



TABLE IIB Continued

	Pre	Post		Pre	Post	
Student		Feelings About	Change			
Student	2011001	SOS		Teachers	SOS Teachers	
36	32	54	+22	33	43	4
37	51	56 .	. + 5	54	61	-4
38	46	53	+ 7	63	· 52	
3 9	30	48	· +1 8	41	. 48	4
40	58			54		
41	* 49	40 .	- 9	. 49	40	-
42	47	56 ·	+ 9	32	49	+
43		47		>	45	•••
44	72	40	-32		51	<i>-</i>
45		. 76		42	64	+
46	32			42		-
· 47	31	50	+19	32	47	. 1
Means	45.0	53.4		44.7		
Means	(N=45)	(N=34)		(N=44)	51.3 (N=36)	



TABLE IIC PRETEST AND POSTTEST SCORES ON ATTITUDE SCALES 1974-75 11TH GRADE STUDENTS

	Pre	Post	•	Pre	Post	. ,
Student		Feelings About SOS	Change	Feelings About Teachers		Chang
	20	F.7				
01	·	57 53		~ ~	62 66	
03	48			49		
		•	•			

TABLE IID SUMMARY OF ATTITUDE SCALE RESULTS, PRETEST AND POSTTEST 1974-75 9TH, 10TH, AND 11TH GRADE STUDENTS

•			•	•	•
Mean S	Scores		Mean S	Scores	
-		No. and $%$		_ 	No. and %
		Improving Scores	Feelings About	Feelings About	Improving
School	SOS	Pre to Post	Teachers	SOS Teachers	Pre to Po
041-0-1		Ø		•	• •
9th Grade	,		1	- 2	
			}		,
40.4	55.4	00 00 50 +			
43.4	55.4	33 82.5% *	44.1	.54.2	28 77.8%
(N=47)	(N=43)	(N=40)	(N=44)	(N=42)	(N=36)
•					
10th Chada		n			a
10th Grade	•				
45.0	53.4	22 68.8%	44.7	c1 0	00 70 00
(N=45)	(N=34)	(N=32)		51.3	26 78.8%
(11-45)	(14-54)	(N-32)	(N=44)	(N=36)	(N=33)
			· .		
11th Grade	ı		·		-
		e e			, 🚾
48.0	55.0		49.0	64.0	
(N=1)	(N=2)		(N=1)	(N=2)	
\	\ (11 2)		(141)	(N-2)	
	•				4
Total Group					1
	•	`	\sim		
44.2	54.5	55 76.4%	44.4	53.2	54 78.3%
(N=93)	(N=79)	(N=72)	(N=89)	(N=80)	(N=69)
		, ,	(11 12)	(2. 00)	
	•		,	•	

^{*}Meets group criterion for success

3. Objective III:

a. Procedures for analysis of data: Based upon pretest reading grade level scores, 38 students (fifteen 9th graders, twenty-three 10th graders) were placed in the SLBP Group; 16 students (eleven 9th graders, five 10th graders) were placed in the Developmental Group.

Pretest and posttest grade level scores were recorded and a gain score was computed for each student. In addition, a minimum acceptable gain score based upon the criterion of 2 months gain for each 3 months participation in the program was computed. (The formula (days enrolled/58.3) \times 2, where 58.3 = number of days in a 3 month period was used to calculate the minimum acceptable gain score.)

The number and percentage of students in each group meeting the criterion for successful accomplishment of the objective was determined.

- b. Report of the findings: The group criterion for success of 60% was exceeded by every group. The minimum percentage of accomplishment figure was 80% for the 10th grade Developmental Group; the maximum was 91.3% for the 10th grade SLBP Group.
- c. Summarization and tabulation of data: Pretest, posttest, minimum acceptable gain, and actual gain scores for individual students are presented in Tables IIIA and IIIB. The summary of the results and the percentage of successful accomplishment figures are presented in Table IIIC.



TABLE IIIA READING RESULTS FOR STUDENTS WITH INITIAL READING LEVEL BETWEEN 0.0 and 5.5 1974-75 SLBP GROUP

			Minimum	·
×	Pretest	Posttest	Acceptable	Actual
Students	Level	Level	Gain	Gain
h Grade Students				đ
01	3.3	6. 3	.3	
03	3.9	7.0	.4	3.0*
06	4.5	11.6	.6	3.1*
09	5. 5	5.8	.3	7.1*
15	2.6	5.7	.6	.3*
23	4.8	7.0	.3	3.1* 2.2*
24	5. 3	8.9	.5	
25	4.4	7.0	.5 .5	3.6*
26	5.5	7.3		2.6*
27	3.3	5.5	.5 .2	1.8*
29	5.5	5.8		2.2*
30	1.7	2.8	.6	.3
31	2.6	5.5	.5	. 1.1*
41	4.2	5.9	.6	2.9*
51	₹ 4.5	4.5	4	1,7*
01	{ 3:0	4.0	.2	0.0
•				
Oth Grade Students				
or o			•	
02	5 .3	7.9	C	0.04
05	5.0	8.7	.6	2.6*
06	4.5	7.8	.6	1
07	3.1	5.9	. 6	3.3*
12	4.5		.3	2.8*
14_	3.8 [′]	7.0	.6	2.5*
15		6.1	.5	2.3*
	3.3	4.5 5.4	.5	12*
1.0		5 4	.4	1
16	5.5			•
17	4.4	7.0	.6	2.6*
17 22	4.4 2.7	7.0 5.5	.6 .6	2.6* 2.8*
17 22 23	4.4 2.7 4.8	7.0 5.5 5.9	.6 .6 .4	2.6* 2.8* 1.1*
17 22 23 25	4.4 2.7 4.8 5.1	7.0 5.5 5.9 7.0	.6 .6 .4 .6	2.6* 2.8* 1.1* 1.9*
17 22 23 25 26	4.4 2.7 4.8 5.1 5.0	7.0 5.5 5.9 7.0 14.1	.6 .6 .4 .6 .4	2.6* 2.8* 1.1*
17 22 23 25 26 27	4.4 2.7 4.8 5.1 5.0 5.0	7.0 5.5 5.9 7.0 14.1 5.0	.6 .6 .4 .6 .4 .3	2.6* 2.8* 1.1* 1.9* 9.1*
17 22 23 25 26 27 33	4.4 2.7 4.8 5.1 5.0 5.0	7.0 5.5 5.9 7.0 14.1 5.0 4.5	.6 .6 .4 .6 .4	2.6* 2.8* 1.1* 1.9* 9.1* 0.0
17 22 23 25 26 27	4.4 2.7 4.8 5.1 5.0 5.0	7.0 5.5 5.9 7.0 14.1 5.0	.6 .6 .4 .6 .4 .3	2.6* 2.8* 1.1* 1.9* 9.1*

ERIC

TABLE IIIA Continued

Students	Pretest Level	Posttest Level	Minimum Acceptable Gain	Actual Gain
38	3.9	5.5	.1	1.6*
39	5.1 '	9.3	.6	4.2*
41	. 3.1	4.5	.6	1.4*
43	2.5	2.9	.4	.4*
44	3.3	5.9	.6	2.6*
47 .	5.3	6.8	.6	1.5*

^{*}Meets criterion for success



TABLE IIIB READING RESULTS FOR STUDENTS WITH INITIAL READING LEVEL BETWEEN 5.6 AND 7.0 1974-75

DEVELOPMENTAL GROUP

•	Pretest	Posttest	Minimum Acceptable	Actual
Students	Level	Level	Gain	Gain
th Grade Students	<u>3</u> ·			
,	· · , · ·			
07	7.0	11.9	, 6	4.9*
08	6.1 .	6.9	.4	.8*
10	5.7	8.7	. . 4	3.0*
° 13	6.3	8.1	.6	1.8*
16	6.7	11.9	4	5.2*
17	5.7	6.0	.5	.3
28	6.1	7.5	.4	1.4*
39	6.8	13.0	.5	6.2*
43	§ 5.7	6.2	.4	.5*
44	6.3	6.5	.3	. 2
45	6.5	12.6	. 6	6.1*
Oth Grade Student	ts .		•	s
03	6.7	8.5	.6	1.8*
08	7.0	6.8	.3	2
10	5.9	7.1	.5	1.2*
20	7.0	9.9	.6	2.9*
32	7.0 ~	a : 8.1		

^{*}Meets criterion for success



30

TABLE NIC SUMMARY OF READING RESULTS 1974-75 SLBP AND DEVELOPMENTAL GROUPS

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Meeting Criterion for Success		
SLBP GROUP	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	
9th Grade Students (N=15)	13	86.7*	
10th Grade Students (N=23)	21	91.3*	
DEVELOPMENTAL GROUP			
9th Grade Students (N=11)	9	81.8*	
10th Grade Students (N=5)	4	80.0*	

^{*}Meets criterion for success

4. Objective IV:

- Procedures for analysis of data: Pretest and posttest math scores were recorded for each student in the Basic Mathematics program and gain scores were computed. Pretest and posttest mean scores for each grade classification and for the total group were also computed.
- b. Report of the findings: The great majority of the students -- 93.2% of the 9th graders, 91.4% of the 10th graders, 100% of the 11th graders; 92.3% of the total group -- improved their scores from the pretest to the posttest administration of the mathematics test.
- c. Summarization and tabulation of data: The pretest, posttest, and gain scores for each student, by grade level classification, are reported in Table IVA. Mean scores on each of the tests for each grade classification and for the total group are presented in Table IVB.

3

TABLE IVA MATHEMATICS PRETEST, POSTTEST, AND GAIN SCORES 1974-75

ga 3	Pretest	Posttest	
Student	Score	Score	- Gain
9th Grade Students	•	•	
01	13	25	12
. 02	9	23	14
n o	, 14	23	9
04	3	9	6
05	7	7	0
07	4	17	13
08	7	25	18
09	12	6	-6
10	23	~ 31	· 8
12	11	13	· 2
13	7	17	10
15	. 6	8	2
16	15	24	` .(9
17	14	15	1
18	. 9	18 . '	· 9
21	27	33	6
22	5	18	13
23	12	12	. • 0
24	6 .	12	. 6
25	0	5	` 5
26	4	5	1
27	9	`22	13
3 28	9	16	7 .
29	4	23	19
30	2	7	5
31	. 8	21	13
32	16	-20	4.
33	3	. 4	1
34	2	7	1 5
35	7 6	. 19	13
36	11	20	9
. 37	13	24	11
39 .	17	30	13
. 40	9	32	23



33

TABLE IVA Continued

·	Pretest	Posttest	
Student	Score	Score	Gain
•			
41	5	. 17	12
42	19	27	8
43	15	23	8
44	6	9	3
45	17	25	8
46	17	33	16
47	20	31	11
48	18	25	7
49	6	15	9
50	11	17	6
10th Grade Students	· .		
· 01	20	33	13
02	4	7	3
03	21	· 30	9
05	. 6	17	11
. 06	• 6	28	22
07	5 ياني	8	3
08	7	21	14
. 10	12	24	12
12	19	•27	8
14	7	14	7
15	6	6	0
17	6	15	9
20	14	18	. 4
21	3	9	6
. 22	5	19	14
24	26	29	3
25	3 ,	8	3 5
- 26	5	13	Q
. 28	4	13 9	8 5 11 5 6
29	15	26	11
30	16 7	21	5
32	7	13	•



TABLE IVA Continued

		· ·		
	Pretest	Posttest		
Student	Scorg	Score	Gain	
			•	
33	4	31	27	
34	. 12	21	9	
35	11	15	. 4	
36	6	12	6	
37	14	22	8	
38	7	8	1	
39	6	19	13	
40	8	7	-1	
` 41	· 5	9	4	
42	9	18	9	
43	9	2	-7	
45	11	21	10	
47	. 14	21	7	
f .			\$4	
11th Grade Students				
02	11	18	. 7	, ,
03	16	33	17	



.,

TABLE IVB MATHEMATICS PRETEST AND POSTTEST MEAN SCORES 1974-75 9TH, 10TH, AND 11TH GRADE GROUPS

	•	
4 12' €' • 1 32 ± 1	Pretest <u>Mean</u>	Posttest <u>Mean</u>
9th Grade (N=44)	10.3	18.5
10th Grade (N=35)	9.5	17.2
11th Grade (N=2)	13.5	25.5
Total Group (N=81)	10.0	18.1





5. Objective V:

- a. Procedures for analysis of data: The details of each job experience for each student who chose to work -- place of employment, starting and finishing dates, and the rating of successful(S) or unsuccessful(U) -- were collected and tabulated. The number and percentage of students having at least one successful work experience was determined and the results were summarized by grade classification and for the group as a whole.
- b. Report of the findings: A total of 41 students chose to participate in the work experience aspect of S.O.S. during 1974-75. Thirty-five (85.4%) of these students had at least one successful experience as indicated by the composite ratings of the Project Coordinator and the Work Coordinator. These 41 students had a total of 49 separate work experiences, 37 (75.5%) of which were rated as successful.
- c. Summarization and tabulation of data: Table VA contains the job experience details for each student who chose to work, with separate listings for 9th and 10th grade students (no 11th grade students elected to participate in this aspect of the S.O.S. program). The summary statistics for the successful work experiences are presented in Table VB.



TABLE VA SUMMARÝ OF EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCES 1974-75 9TH AND 10TH GRADE STUDENTS

9TH AND 10TH GRADE STUDENTS

	Stu	dent	Place of Employment	Date Started	Date Terminated	Rating of * Success
9th	Grade	Stud	ents			v
		01	Mr. Rib	9-15-74	Continuing	\$
		03	NYC Hall School	9-139-74	12-15-74	S
	•		McDonald's	4-15-75	Continuing	S 1
		08	Pilot City Regional, Center		3-10-75	S •
		11	Kentucky Fried Chicken	10-8-74	12-4-74	S
,		17	Super Value	9-20-74	12-15-74	S
	•	18	NYS-WMCÅ	4-1-75	Continuing	S
		20	Burger King	9-9-74	10-2-74	Ŭ
		26	Penn Ave. Warehouse	. 10-4-74	10-19-74	. S
		28	NYC-Teen Center	3-15-75	5-1-7 5	Š
		29	Merwin Drug	3-18-75	4-1-75	S
, M		30	Merwin Drug	9-1-74	Continuing	, s
		32	Country Club	4-26-74	1-10-75	Ü
	•	3 5	Emerson Furniture	10-1-74	Continuing	S
		36	NYC-SOS	9-10-74	?	, Ū
		39	Babysitting v		Continuing	S
		47	SSP-Office	9-10-74	Continuing	S
		50	Babysitting	1-1-75	2-12-75	S
<u>10't</u>	h Grad	e Stu	<u>idents</u>			
		01	Butter Roll Bakery .	10-21-74	Continuing	S
		02	NYC North High Office	2-3-75	6-12-75	S
		05	Burger King	9-9-74	10-1-74	Ū
			Mooney's Pub	2-1-75	3-1-75	Ŭ
	4	•	Burger King	3-10-75	Continuing	S
,		06	Lund's	10-4-74	Continuing	S
•			Native American Youth Ser	vice 1-15-75	Continuing	S
		09	Penny's Super Market	10-5-74	11-1-74	· U
,			Super Valu	1-15-75	2-15-75	U
			McDonald's	4-15-75	Continuing	S
		11	Capri Theatre	9-7-74	11-15-74	S
			Freemont Connection	2-15-75	3-1-75	Ū
		12	Babysitting	3-10-75	6-1-75	S
			•			
-25		13	SSP-Counseling Office	9-15-74	?	Ŭ
-27			•	9-15-74 9-15-74	? 4-28-75	U S



TABLE · VA- Continued

	Student	Place of Employment	Date Started	Date Terminated	Rating of * Success
	21	Highland Park Nursing	10-29-74	2-8-75*	S
	22 .	Burger King	9-10-74	11-21-74	S
	^	Fas Gas	1-1-75	5-4-75	S
	24	Burger King	10-8-74	4-25-75	S
	2 5	Country Club	9-15-74	Continuing	S
	26	Monroe Country Boy	9-15-74	4-1-75	S
	28	Stewart Meats	4-1-75	Continuing	S
	3 3	Country Club	10-2-74	4-19-75	S
	3 5	Burger King	10-2-74	1-17-75	S * *
•	36	Hank's Standard	10-1-74	11-1-74	Ü
	37	Lee Alan Auto	4-5-75	Continuing	S
	44	Super Valu	9-17-74	2-15-75	S
		Country Club	4-15-75	4-24-75	Ü
	45	Phil's Floral	11-1-74	2-15-75	S
•	47	Imagery Creations	1-15-75	Continuing	S

^{*}S=Successful Experience U=Unsuccessful Experience



TABLE VB SUMMARY OF SUCCESSFUL WORK EXPERIENCES 1974-75

Number of Students Choosing to work	Total Number of Work Experiences	Students with One Successi				ccessful Experie
9th Grade Students	•	<u>N</u>	<u>8</u>	· · · · ·	N	<u>&</u>
17	18	14	82.4*	,	15	83.3
10th Grade Students			~	0		-
24	31	21 .	87.5*		22	71.0
Total, Group		•				,
41	49	35	85.4*		37	75.5

^{*}Meets criterion for success



6. Objective VI:

- a. Procedures for analysis of data: Information about pre-service and in-service training sessions for the project staff was obtained by the evaluator and discussed with the Project Director. These discussions also included a review of the class schedules and course objectives that had been prepared by the staff, and an expression of the Project Director's satisfaction with the sessions and the materials produced.
- b. Report of the findings: Pre-service and in-service training sessions were held as planned during the 1974-75 school year and were well attended by the staff. Course objectives for most courses were prepared to the satisfaction of the Project Director and the resource consultant.
- c. <u>Summarization</u> and <u>tabulation</u> of <u>data</u>: No data will be presented at this point for this objective. Complete sets of course objectives are on file in the S.O.S. office.

D. Conclusions and Recommendations

1. Accomplishment of project objectives:

a. Objective I: This objective, which dealt with the "participation" aspects of the S.O.S. project, was divided into three sub-objectives: attendance, credits earned, and a composite rating of each student by the project staff. Only the composite rating portion was successfully accomplished according to the criterion for success that had been established. This sub-objective was to be considered successfully accomplished if at least 75% of the total group received ratings of "successful" by the staff. The actual figure was 76.2%.

A substantial portion, 57.7%, of the students earned an average of at least 4 credits for each trimester that they were in attendance in the project, but this figure fell short of the 60% minimum that had been established as the criterion for success.

The mark was missed even farther where attendance was concerned. The criterion for success that was established was that at least 60% of the total group of students would be in attendance 80% of the time that they were enrolled in the project. Although a substantial number of students did reach this goal, and many others had commendable records, especially when their previous attendance records were considered, the figure of 47.5% for the total group was not high enough for successful attainment of the sub-objective.



41 -38-

Thus only one of the three sub-objectives of Objective I -- composite rating -- was successfully accomplished.

- b. Objective II: This objective was concerned with improving students' attitudes toward school and teachers. These attitudes, as measured by the attitude scales that were used in the project in 1973-74 and again in 1974-75, did improve rather substantially in both areas, but not enough students made improvements in their scores for the criterion for success to be met. The improvement percentage of 76.4% for school and 78.3% for teachers were quite high, yet both were short of the 80% minimum that had been set.
- c. Objective III: The "survival skill" of reading is a tremendously important one for S.O.S. students, and it was in this area that the gains for 1974-75 were most impressive. It had been hoped that at least 60% of the students who were assigned to the SLBP and Developmental reading groups would improve their reading grade level by at least 2 months for each 3 months that they were in attendance in the project. The final results showed that 87.0% of the students had reached this goal.
- d. Objective IV: The mathematics area was a problem area all year at S.O.S. As was noted in the Interim Evaluation Report, the mathematics goal was arrived at with some difficulty, and proper evaluation data were not available at the time of the interim report. Unfortunately, this situation was apparently not one that could be remedied, and the individual evaluation and determination of goals for students was not done. As a result, there are no data to indicate whether or not this objective was successfully accomplished

To the extent that the standardized test that was used is an indicator of student progress, however, the available data do show that the majority of students improved their computational skills from the pretest to the posttest administrations of the tests.

- e. Objective V: This objective -- the job experience objective -- very clearly was successfully accomplished. The goal was for at least 50% of the students who chose to work to have at least one successful job experience during the year. The final data show that 85.4% of the students did have a positive, successful experience.
- f. Objective VI: During 1973-74, the S.O.S. staff began to receive assistance in preparing goals and objectives for their students from Ms. Harriet P. Burns, Director of Special Education, College of St. Thomas. Ms. Burns continued her excellent assistance through

pre-service and in-service training sessions in 1974-75. The result was a marked improvement in the quality and thoroughness of the goals and objectives that were developed by the staff. Without question, therefore, this objective was successfully accomplished.

- 2. Implications: During the three years that the S.O.S. project has been in existance at North Community High School, much has been learned about establishing, supporting and maintaining an alternative educational program within the framework of the traditional educational setting. Many of these findings do have broad implications for others who are struggling with similar situations elsewhere. The Project Coordinator is the most qualified person to elaborate upon these points, and her reports certainly should be read with interest. The evaluator wishes to comment at this point, however, on four items that have been especially noticeable to him during the three years that he has been involved with the evaluation of the project.
 - a. Selection of students: Within every traditional school setting there are some students who do not seem to fit. The causes of the problems, if they can be discovered at all, are as different and varied as the individuals are unique. For some the degree of disparity is small, for others it is enormous. If and when an alternative program is developed to assist these problem children, there often is a tendency to attempt to place all of them into the alternative program. This is a mistake, and will either doom the project to utter failure within a short time, or reduce its effectiveness to near nothing.

Students who are admitted to the program must be carefully selected. Only those who can reasonably be expected to be assisted by the project, which is limited in scope, should be admitted. Others should either be retained where they are or referred to other agencies who can deal with their special problems.

b. Project goals and objectives: In some educational settings it is possible to begin instruction with only general or vague notions of the desired outcome, and "let things develop" as the school term moves along. In an alternative program such as S.O.S., that behavior is a sure guarantee of frustration for all and disaster in the end.

An alternative program must clearly define and limit its scope of action, state its goals and objectives, and select and prepare methods of instruction for reaching these goals and objectives before it opens its doors. This does not imply rigidity; on the contrary, flexibility is essential, but intelligent flexibility is possible only when desired outcomes have been previously determined.

selection: Just as it is essential that students be carefully selected, so is it essential that the teaching and support staff be carefully selected. The students in a project like S.O.S. are difficult to deal with. This is no place for the inexperienced, immature, irresponsible teacher, or one with physical, mental, or emotional health problems.

The teachers who are chosen should, above all, be persons who have an understanding of the kinds of students that they will be working with, and want to work with them. Of near equal importance, moreover, they should be competent professionals who not only are willing to work as part of a team, but also are able to function with a minimum of supervision.

d. Program evaluation: The overall goal of S.O.S. was to bring about desired changes in individuals -- in individuals as whole persons. In order to maximize the possiblity of this happening, the progress of each student should be closely monitored. Staff sessions in which student progress (and problems) are discussed is one good way to keep everyone aware of what is happening.

Similarly, the progress of the project as a whole should be evaluated regularly during the school year. Only in this way can problems be discovered and modifications be made in time to be of value.

- Recommendations: The Minneapolis Public School has already decided not only to continue this project at North Community High School, but also to expand it to include a larger segment of the North High population. The recommendations that are offered at this point, therefore, will not concern the continuation of the project or the attainment of the six objectives for 1974-75, as these have been made in previous evaluation reports. They will, however, speak to the continued development of the project as it moves into this new, expanded phase.
 - a. Administrative concern and support: "It's nice to know that you are wanted, and that someone knows, understands, and is concerne about what happens to you." This applies not only to individuals, but to projects like S.O.S. (which, of course, are made up of human beings).

The evaluator recommends that the strong administrative support from North High and Area and District administrators which was so obvious in 1974-75 continue in the future.



- b. Staff selections: Staffing has been a problem for S.O.S. during each of its three years of existence. The evaluator recommends that the comments offered under "Implications: 2c" above be considered as the staff for 1975-76 is selected.
- c. Public relations: Of necessity for survival of the project, perhaps, much of the time of the Project Coordinator and the staff has been spent in public relations, in efforts to inform significant others, both internal and external to the school staff, of the project and its needs and accomplishments. These activities have been worthwhile, but there is no doubt that they have taken valuable time away from working with the students and from further development of the project.

The evaluator recognizes the need for public relations, of course, but he recommends that the burden be shared by others and that the Project Coordinator and the staff be given more time to work with the project.

4. Conclusion: Although the educational objectives as stated for S.O.S. for 1974-75 were not attained to the extent that had been hoped, the project as a whole continues to fill a much-needed place in the North Community High School program. Its acceptance, and new expansion speak clearly to its success.



APPENDICES

Appendix A:

Class Schedule for October 28 - November 22, 19

Appendix B:

Attitude Scales



		•••
NIVAL		November
SS.	_	•
	ō	٥c
2	Ξ	28
	S	٤.
ರ	Session	9
	0	
EF	IJ	C

CLASS SCHEDULES

				1		Ì	٠	•		1		_		,A	pend			
•	12:50 1:30 - 2:15	S	RECREATION - TO, THOSE	w(co7	BEADING Rm 602	FOOD Portable M/W/F	RECREATION TVThur.		•	1 .	Tu. and thurs. Rm. 102	RECREATION - TV Th.	Rm 100	MUNT	BERD ING Rh. 602	NECRESTION - TOIL TH,	140W WE SEE IT - M/W/F RM 100	
į	32:05 - 12:45	READ-0-0274	Portable	CRAFTS	Rm 602			seling.		THE REAL THING	602A	MYSTERY AND ROPAINCE	In Reabing Rm 101	CARING ARMY	ANIMALS Rm 102		Algebra Rm 100	,
	11:15	-	4			()	Sat cour		1	V			1	\bigcap			-
CLASS SCHEDULES	10:35 - 11:15	READ-O-PLIND	Portable	BATIK and	TIE OYRING Rm. 602	REAG 0 - CATA		WORK COOKUINATUR (See Mb. Genereux between 8:30 and 9:30 for Jah counselling.		GITY LIFE	Rm 102		1	CITY LIFE	Gr 102	SAFETY IN	NOFICE RAIN	-
CLASS	10:25 10:35	Y /	X			t	1	oduren 8		-	7				Y		1	8
-	9:45 - 10:25	!		CRAFTS	Rm 602	READ-O-RAMA		ie Mb. Genereux 1		THE REAL THING	Rm 602A		Rm 101	CARING ABOUT	ANIMALS Pm 102	SAFETY IN	Gr 100	
197	9:20	GROUP	Rin 101	GROUP Rm 602		GROUP Post 12)		IMATOR (Se	Room tol	GROUP	3	arond	Rm 102	anono	Portable	GROUP P. JOA	3	47025
on 8 - November 22,	8:30 - 9:10	READ-O-RAMA	Portable	1		REAC-O-REMA	Portoble	WORK COORD	Rool			THE POENTA CTORY	Portable			1 1 1		47
101 S & & S 104		JAMES		BEVERLY	*COCCE !	QIVAC OLIVE)	AULETT F.	•	NKO NKO		RLFIRE		ועא	NC 573	ARY		JAGER

•		
Studen	t Name	
SCHOOL OF SURVIVAL North High School		

DIR	E	CT	10	NS:
-----	---	----	----	-----

ır of cribe

		F E.F.I.	UNGO ABU	UT TEAC	JHERS					
DII	RECTIONS:	This questionnaire gives you the opportunity to express you feelings about several things related to teachers. For each of the statements below, circle the letters that most nearly described your feelings about the statement.								
			SA - Stro A - Agr U - Uno D - Dis SD - Stro	ree ertain agree						
1.	Most teacher	s are genuine	ly interest	ed in the	eir students	as individu	als.			
		SA	A	U	D	SD	• •			
2.	Teachers oftential themselves.	en encourage	students to	try thir	ngs on their	r own to th	hink for			
	4.	SA	. A -	U	D	SD				
3.	Teachers bas	sically have a	good sense	e of humo	or.					
		SA	A	U	D	SD				
4.	Most teacher	s do not under	stand wha	t the "re	al" world i	s all about.				
		SA	A	U	D	SD				
5.	- Teachers do	not expect ve	ry much fro	om their	students.		~			
		SA .	A	·U	D	sp				
6.	Most teacher:	s are usually i	fair in thei	r dealing	gs with stud	dents.				
		SA	A	.U	D	SD				
7.	Most teachers	s do not know	v how to ge	et along	with their s	students.				
	;	SA ·	A	. U	D	SD				
3.	Teachers are	usually consi	derate of h	ow their	students f	cel.				
		SA	A	ŭ	D	SD	48			



SCHOOL OF SURVIVAL North High School

FEELINGS ABOUT SCHOOL

DII	ם כ	CT	in	MC	
ווע	Xr.	LI.	w	$C \sim$:

This questionnaire gives you the opportunity to express your feelings about several things related to school. For each of the statements below, circle the letters that most nearly describe your feelings about the statement.

		your feelings	s about the	statemen	t.	it most nearly d	lescr
			A - Agr U - Und D - Dis	certain			
1.	School help	os us learn how	to relate t	o other p	eople.		
		SA	A	U	D	SD	
2.	Î do not lik	e to go to schoo	ol.				•
ь		SA	Α	U	D	SD	
3.	I think I lea	arn a lot in sch	ool.				
		. SA	A	Ü	D .	SD	
1.	I think scho	ool is dull and	boring				
		SA	A	U	D	SD	
j.	School does	sn't allow me to	be myself				
		SA	A	U	D ·	SD	•
S.	School is a	comfortable pla	ace for me	to be.			
	•	SA	A	. U .	D C	sp,	
٠.	Going to sc	hool is better t	han staying	g home.			**
	· •	SA	A	U	. D	SD	
3.	School is a	good place for	me to meet	my frien	ds.		,
		SA	Α	U .	D	SD	



9.	. Most teachers really seem to enjoy teaching.									
•		SA	A	U	D	SD				
10	10 All too often teachers embarrass their students.									
		SA	Α .	U	D	SD				
11.	11. Most teachers are nice people.									
		SA	A	U	D	SD				
12.	Teachers do	not treat their	students 1	ike huma	n beings.					
		SA	A	U	D	SD				
13.	Teachers of	ten do not know	what they	are talk	ing about.					
	•,	SA	, A	U	D	SD				
14.	14. Most teachers have forgotten what it's like to be young.									
**	p •=	SA	A	Û	D	SD				
15. Most teachers do not like students.										
		SA	A	Ŭ	D	SD				
16.	Most teacher	rs do a poor job	of teachin	g.						
•		SA.	A	Ū	D	SD				
17.	Most teache	rs do not listen	to what the	eir stude	ents have to	say.				
	:	SA	A	U	D	SD				
18.	Teachers us	sually are frien	dly people		•					
	•	SA	A	U	D .	SD				
19.	19. Most toachers are enthusiastic about the subject they are teaching freally believe that it is important).									
,		SA .	A	U	D	SD ,				
20.	Teachers ar	re easy for stud	lents to talk	c to.						
		SA	A	U .	D	SD				



School is a t	rightening plac	e for me.		•	
	SA	A	U	D,	SD
School helps	me learn the t	hings I wa	nt to lear	'n.	
	SA	Α .	u	D	SD
School is no	thing but a bun	ich of "Do i	this! - D	on't [°] do that	t!"
•	SA 、	A	U	D	SD
School is a p	pretty lonely pl	ace for me	• •	•	•
	SA	A	U	D	SD
The things I	learn in schoo	l will be of	value to	me after I	leave school
	SA	A	U	D	SD
School is a	good place to go	0.		4 . ,	
•	SA 👸	A .	U	D	SD
School helps	s me learn abou	it the reall	y importa	ant things i	n life.
ı	SA	A	U	D .	SD
School is lil	ce a prison for	me.			
	SA	A	U	D	SD
School is sa	d.	•			
	SA	A	U	D	S D .
School is fu	n.				. \
	SA	A	U	D	SD
School is a	waste of time f	or me.		•	
	SA	A	U	D .	SD
. I don't thin	k I am learning	much in s	chool.		
	SA .	A	U	D	SI) .
	School is not School is a part of things I School is a part of the School is like School is full School is full school is a part of the school is a pa	SA School helps me learn the too SA School is nothing but a bun SA School is a pretty lonely plot SA The things I learn in school SA School is a good place to go SA School helps me learn about SA School is like a prison for SA School is sad. SA School is fun. SA School is fun. SA School is a waste of time for SA I don't think I am learning	School helps me learn the things I was SA A School is nothing but a bunch of "Do to SA A School is a pretty lonely place for me SA A The things I learn in school will be of SA A School is a good place to go. SA A School helps me learn about the really SA A School is like a prison for me. SA A School is sad. SA A School is fun. SA A School is a waste of time for me. SA A I don't think I am learning much in san	SA A U School helps me learn the things I want to lear SA A U School is nothing but a bunch of "Do this! - Do SA A U School is a pretty lonely place for me. SA A U The things I learn in school will be of value to SA A U School is a good place to go. SA A U School helps me learn about the really imported to SA A U School is like a prison for me. SA A U School is sad. SA A U School is sad. SA A U School is fun. SA A U School is a waste of time for me. SA A U I don't think I am learning much in school.	SA A U D School helps me learn the things I want to learn. SA A U D School is nothing but a bunch of "Do this! - Don't do that SA A U D School is a pretty lonely place for me. SA A U D The things I learn in school will be of value to me after I SA A U D School is a good place to go. SA A U D School helps me learn about the really important things it SA A U D School is like a prison for me. SA A U D School is sad. SA A U D School is sad. SA A U D School is fun. SA A U D School is a waste of time for me. SA A U D



S	٠	.,	A	٥n	4.	Mame	
J	L	u	u	CI	L	real title	

. SCHOOL OF SURVIVAL North Community High School

FEELINGS ABOUT SOS TEACHERS

A - Agree

DIRECTIONS:

This questionnaire gives you the opportunity to express your feelings about several things related to SOS teachers. For each of the statements below, circle the letters that most nearly describe your feelings about the statement.

SA - Strongly Agree

			U - Unce D - Disa SD - Stro		ree	
·	·	·		·	·	**
1.	Most SOS Teacher	s are genuin	cly interes	ted in the	ir students as in	dividuals.
	SA	A	ָּט ,	. D	S D	•
2.	SOS teachers oft for themselves.	en encourage	students t	o try thin	gs on their own -	to think
	SA	A	U	D	SD	•
3.	SOS teachers bas	ically have	a good sens	e of humor	•	
	SA	A ;	U,	D	SD	
4.	Most SOS teacher	s do not und	erstand wha	t the "real	i" world is all a	oout.
٠	SA	, A	U	ช	SD .	
5.	SOS teachers do	not expect v	ery much fr	om their s	tudents.	
	SA	A	u	D	SD	
6.	Most SOS teacher	s are ùsuall	y fair in t	heir dealir	ngs with students	•
	- SA	A,	u	D	SD	
7.	Most SOS teacher	s do not kno	w how to go	t along wit	th their students	•,
	SA .	٨	U	D	SD	
в.	SOS teachers are	usually con	siderate of	how their	students feel.	
	ς SΛ,	A	Įŗ	n	SD	
9.	Most 803 teacher	s really see	n to enjoy	teaching.	•	
	SA	٨	บ `	D	sp 52	·

10.	All too often SOS teachers embarrass their students.										
	SA	A	บ	`D	Sp						
11.	Most SOS teache	rs are nice p	eople.								
	SA	. A	บ	D	SD						
12.	SOS teachers do	not treat th	eir studen	ts like hum	an beings.						
	, SA	A	u , ,	D	SD						
13.	SOS teachers of	ten do not kn	ow what th	ey arc talk	ing about.	•					
•	SA	A	U,	D -	SD						
14.	Most SOS teache	rs have forgo	tten what	it's like t	o be young.						
	SA	. A	U	D	SD	~					
15.	Most SOS teache	ers do not lik	e students	•	•						
	SA .	Λ	U	D	SD						
16.	Most SOS teache	ers do a poor	job of tea	ching.		•					
	SA	A	U	· D	SD	¥					
17.	Most teachers d	lo not listen	to what th	eir studeni	s have to say.	,					
	SA	A	. t	D .	SD						
18.	SOS teachers us	sually are fr	iendly pcop	ole.	•						
	SA	Λ	U	D	SD -						
19.	Most SOS teache believe that it			out the sub	ject they are tead	ching (really					
. •	SA	A	v	D	SD						
20.	SOS teachers at	re easy for s	tudents to	talk to.	•						
	C A	A	11	, D	Sn						

Student Name	S	tudent	Name	
--------------	---	--------	------	--

SCHOOL OF SURVIVAL North Community High School

FEELINGS ABOUT SOS

SA - Strongly Agree

A - Agrea

D	I	R	E	C	T	I	0	1	ï	S	:	
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--

n

This questionnaire gives you the opportunity to express your feelings about several things related to SOS. For each of the statements below, circle the letters that most nearly describe your feelings about the statement.

			D - Uncerta D - Disagre			•
		S	D - Strongl	y Disagree		
1.	SOS helps t	ıs learn ho	w to relate	to other p	eople.	
•	SA	A	ับ	D	SD	
2.	I do not li	lke to go t	o S OS.			,
	SA	A	ប	D	SD	
3.	I think I I	learn a lot	in SOS.	,		,
	SA	Å	r	D	SD	
4.	I think SOS	is dull a	nd boring.			•
	SA	A	บ	D	SD	-
5.	SOS doesn't	allow me	to be mysel	f.	•	•.
	SA	A	บ	L,	SD	
6.	SOS is a co	omfortable	place for m	e to be.		
-	SA	Λ. ~	U	D	SD	
7.	Going to SC	S is bette	r than stay	ing home.		
	SΛ	Ą	ប	D	SD	
8.	SOS is a go	od place f	or me to ne	et my frien	ds.	
•,	SA	. *s	ับ '	D	SD	,
9.	School is a	frighteni	ng place fo	r me.		· ·
•	S۸	Λ	U	n	SD	54

10.	S OS	helps re	learn the	things I	want to lea	rn.	
		S۸	A .	U	D	SD	
11.	\$ 0\$	is nothin	g but a b	unch of "D	o this! - I	on't do that!	- , IT
	·	SA	A	u	D	\$D-	
12.	Sos	is a pret	ty lonely	place for	me.		
		SA	A	U	D	SD	
13.	The	things I	learn in	SOS will b	e of value	to me after]	leave SOS
	:	SA	A	U	D	SD	
14.	S 0S	is a good	place to	go.	•	•	
ø		SA '	A	u /	D .	SD	
15.	S 0S	helps me	learn abo	ut the rea	lly importa	nt things in	life.
		SA	A	บั	·D	SD	
16.	sos	is like a	prison f	or me.			,
		SA	A	U	D	S D	·
17.	s 0s	is Sad.			•		
*		SA	A	U	D	sd ·	
18.	sos	is fun.	•				
	•	SA	. V	U	D	SD .	
19.	sos	is a vast	e of time	for me.		•	. ;
		SA	A .	ŭ	. D	SD .	
20.	I de	on't think	: I am lea	rning much	in SOS.		
1		SA	A .	U	D	SD	